

*West Virginia
Chess
Bulletin*



No. 190
July, 1985

Published By

West Virginia Chess Association

PAGE OF EXCUSES

Greetings! You now hold in your hand the long overdue 3rd Roush Bulletin. Why so late? Would you believe a dinosaur ate my original copy of the bulletin and I had to retype it? No, I didn't think so. The main reason, of course, is the typical chess player procrastination. A little of the blame can go to the computer company. I had intended on running off the Bulletin on my new PC printer. However, when it finally arrived, it was defective. So with July quickly fading toward August I decided to borrow a friend's printer, run off one copy, and dash it to a commercial copier as we have done in the past. This I have done.

According to the state association constitution, one more Bulletin is due before Labor Day. Since this Bulletin covers only the period from January 1985 to May 1985 and excludes the Charleston Club Championship, the Concord May Tornado, and a Capitol City Tornado; there is ample material available. I pledge to give it a try. Even if I accomplish my goal, I'm sure there are those who will dwell on the fact that only 2 Bulletins were printed in 10 months. Instead, I suggest you dwell on the fact that for a brief period in the summer of '85, the Bulletin went monthly!

For the material in this issue I am indebted to Gerald Evans and Jim Meyer of the Concord area. I thank Terry Riley and David Bruner for information on postal and Grafton events. News from the Charleston area found its way into my hands via Donald Griffith and Dennis Funkhouser. Until next month (hopefully), I am...

John Everett Roush, Editor

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Event	Story (Cross table)
Grafton \$1000 Open.....	p.2(8)
Upcoming Events.....	p.7(NA)
Charleston Open.....	p.8(12)
Concord March Tornado.....	p.12(12)
Capital City Open.....	p.13(17)
Charleston Club Championship....	p.16(NA)
Postal Championship.....	p.16(NA)
Concord April Tornado.....	p.17(20)
Grafton Winter Swiss.....	p.19(20)

\$1000 GRAFTON OPEN

"Hey, isn't that Igor over there?"

IM Formanek seized 1st place at the \$1000 Grafton Open ahead of IM Igor Ivanov and a field of 46 players. Formanek defeated Ivanov in the final round to post a perfect 5-0 score.

In addition to IM's Formanek and Ivanov, the tourney attracted 6 experts. Both Ivanov and Formanek are repeaters at Grafton, having participated in last year's event. A tradition of quality is being established which West Virginia can ill afford to lose. (When was the last time 2 IMs fought it out for 1st place in the last round of a West Virginia tournament?)

The tournament was again held at the lodge at Tygart State Park, a picturesque setting for certain. The lodge is on the shores of the lake which is surrounded by beautiful wooded hills. It really isn't hard to imagine oneself to be in Switzerland or some other exotic locale. (Fantasies of this nature are especially easy for a good 'ole boy like myself who has never even been to New York much less Switzerland!)

Some minor difficulties were caused by the fact that the lodge restaurant was closed. However, this circumstance was beyond the control of the organizers and will most likely prove to be a one-time only occurrence. A healthy supply of free pastry and coffee Saturday morning helped take the edge off the problem!

The tournament was sponsored by the Mountain Statesman, Grafton's fine newspaper. The sponsoring USCF affiliate was the Grafton High School Chess Club. Terry Riley was the tournament director.

This year's event was a real dog eat dog affair. (In fact this journalistic hound had his tail and ears chewed off by round 3! Fearing my legs would be next, I trotted off while I still could!) As a result, selection of a manageable number games was difficult. If we have neglected to print your brilliancy against a hated rival, blame Dennis Funkhouser. He didn't have anything to do with selection of the games, but blame him anyway! Suffering is good for the soul. Here are a selection of games:

Rd. 1 J.K.Sowder-Ivanov

1.d4 Nf6 2.Nf3 g6 3.c4 Bg7 4.Nc3 0-0 5.e4 d6 6.g3 Bg4 7.Bg2 Nc6 8.h3 Bf3 9.Bf3 Nd7 10.Be3 e5 11.d5 Nd4 12.Bg2 c5 13.0-0 (No better is 13.dc6 bc6 when the ...d5 break is in the air and black can make use of the b-file.) a6 14.Rb1 f5 15.Bd2?! b5 16.b3 f4 17.h4 b4 18.Na4 Nf6 19.Nb2 Nh5 20.Kh2 Bh6 21.Nd3 fg3+ 22.fg3 Rf1 23.Bf1Bd2 24.Bg2 Bf5!? (More conservative moves should win also. Apparently Ivanov is eager for a quick kill.) 25.gh4 Qh4+ 26.Kg1 Nf4 (White should resign at this point as he has a material deficit of 2 pawns with no hope of a reversal. Strangely, he struggles on to move 42 before he accepts the inevitable 0-1.)

Rd. 1 Formanek-Windisch

Kings Indian Defence

1.d4 Nf6 2.c4 g6 3.Nc3 Bg7 4.e4 d6 5.Be2 Nbd7 6.f4 0-0 7.Nf3 c5 8.d5 Nb6 9.a4 a5 10.0-0 Re6 11.Qc2 Bd7 12.Bd2 e5?! (12...e6 looks better. White has more options after 12...e5, although Formanek's choice leads to the same position) 13.de6 Be6 14.b3 Bd7 15.Bd3 Qc7 16.h3 Nc8 17.Rae1 Bc6 18.Nd5 Qd8 19.Bc3 Nb6 20.Ng5 Nh5 21.Bg7 Bd5 22.Bb2 Bc6 23.Qc3 f6 24.g4 Ng7 25.Qf6 Qf6 26.Bf6 Nd7 27.Bg7 Kg7 28.f5 Re5 29.Bc2 Nf6 30.Nf3 Be4? 31.Be4 Re4 32.g5 Re1 33.gf6+ Kf6 34.Ne1 g5 35.Nd3 Re8 36.Kf2 b6 37.Nf4 Re4 38.Kf3 Ke5 39.Nd5 Kd4 40.Rd1+ Ke5 41.Nb6 1-0

Rd. 1 Eidemiller-Bruner

Reti Opening

1.Nf3 e6 2.c4 c6 3.b3 d5 4.Bb2 Nf6 5.g3 g6?! (Unnecessarily weakening) 6.Bg2 Bg7 7.0-0 0-0 8.d3 Nbd7 9.Nbd2 Qc7 10.Rc1 b6 11.Rc2 Bb7 12.Qa1 (Thematic! The Reti Opening the way Richard Reti played it.) c5 13.e3 Rfe8 14.cd5 ed5 15.Bh3 d4? 16.ed4 Re2! (Clever, but not quite enough to extricate black from his difficulties.) 17.Bg2 Nh5 18.dc5 Bb2 19.Qb2 Rc8 20.Rfc1 bc5 21.Nc4 Rc2 22.Rc2 Re8 23.Re2 Re2 24.Qe2 Nhf6 25.Qe7 Nd5 26.Qe8+ Kg7 27.Ng5 Nd7-f6 28.Qe5 Qe5 29.Ne5 Ba6 30.a3 Bc8 31.Ng7 Be6 32.Nd8 Bg8 33.Ndc6 a6 34.b4 cb4 35.ab4 Be6 36.Kf1 Nd7? 37.Nd7 Bd7 38.Bd5 1-0

Rd. 1 Bierce-Roush

English Opening

1.c4 Nf6 2.Nc3 g6 3.g3 Bg7 4.Bg2 d6 5.d3 0-0 6.e4 c6 7.Nge2 a6 8.a4 a5 9.0-0 Na6 (The alternative ...Nbd7 is playable. I had in mind playing ...Nb4 rather than ...Nc5. The idea is to support the pawn break ...d5) 10.Be3 Nb4 11.Na2 Na2 12.Ra2 d5!? (An interesting plan although it did not quite work out for black in this game.) 13.cd5 cd5 14.e5! Ng4 15.d4 Ne3?! (Taking White's bad B is probably wrong. I should have given preference to 15...Nh6 with the idea of ...Nf5) 16.fe3 Bg4 17.h3 Be2 18.Qe2 e6 19.b3 Rc8 20.Rc2 Rc2 21.Qc2 Bh6 22.Qc3 Qb8 (Black desperately avoids drawish equality. However, White has played well and drawish equality is probably the best black [i.e. me, the editor] can reasonably hope for.) 23.e4! de4 24.Be4 b6 25.Kh2 Rc8 26.Qd3 Qc7 27.Qf3 Bg7 28.Rf2 Qd7 29.d5!? (Even without this sacrifice of a pawn, black has strong pressure. Why give black an extra pawn and hope for the future?) Be5 30.de6 fe6 31.Bb7 Rd8 32.Ba6 Bd4 33.Rf1 Bc5 34.h4 Qe7 35.Bc4 Kg7 36.Kh3 Re8 37.Qc3+ e5 38.Qf3 (38.Rf7+ Qf7 39.Bf7 Kf7 gives Black some winning chances.) e4 (Black can beat back the attack with 38...Rf8 39.Qf8+ Qf8 40.Rf8 Bf8 but the ending is hopelessly drawn. Black hopes to engineer a Q and B trade when his extra pawn might mean something.) 39.Qc3+ Qe5 40.Rf7+ Kh8 41.Qd2 (The game gets extremely tactical. White's dual threats of Qh6 or Qd7 are difficult to meet.) Bd6 42.Qe3 Rc8 43.Rf4 Rc4? (43...Re8 is necessary, but after 44.Rf7 black seems unable to make any progress towards a win.) 44.bc4 Qe6+ 45.Rg4! (I had expected 45.Kh2 Bf4 with a painful Q ending. I thought the text simply left white down a piece.) h5 46.Qh6+! (This was the move I missed when I decided to go in for 43...Rc4?) Kg8 47.Qg6+ 1-0

Rd. 1 Garner-Viggiano

Sicilian Defence

1.e4 c5 2.Nf3 d6 3.d4 cd4 4.Nd4 Nf6 5.Nc3 a6 6.Be2 Nc6 7.Be3 a6 8.0-0 Bd7 9.f4 Qc7 10.g4 h6 11.Qe1 Nd4 12.Bd4 e5 13.Be3 Ng4 14.Bg4 Bg4 15.Nd5 Qc6 16.fe5 fe5 17.Qc3 Be6 18.Qe5 Bd6 19.Qd4 Rd8 20.Rad1 Bd5 21.ed5 Qc7 22.Rf2 Be5 23.Qe4 f6 24.Rg2 Qe7 25.Qg6+ Qf7 26.Qg7 Qg7 27.Rg7 Kf8 28.Rb7 Rg8+ 29.Kh1 Rg6 30.c4 f5 31.c5 f4 32.Bd4 Bd4 33.Rd4 f3? 34.Rf4+ Kg8 35.d6 Re8 36.Re7 Rf8 37.d7 f2 38.Rf2 and White won shortly 1-0

Rd.1 Dolgovski-Stepp

English Opening

1.Nf3 d6 2.c4 Bg4 3.e3 Nf6 4.Nc3 e5 5.Be2 Be7 6.b3 0-0 7.0-0 Nbd7 8.Bb2 c6 9.Rc1 Ne8 10.d4 f5 11.de5 de5 12.Qc2 Bf3 13.Bf3 e4 14.Be2 Bd6 15.g3 Qg5 16.Rcd1 Ne5 17.f4 ef3 18.Bf3 Qe3+ 19.Kf2 Nf3 20.Rf3 Qg5 21.Bc1 Qh5 22.Na2 Qf7 23.Nd4 g6 24.Bh6 Ng7 25.Rdd3 Bc5 26.Ne2 Rfe8 27.Qb2 Re4 28.Rf4 Rae8 29.Nc3 Qf6 30.Rf1 Qf6 31.Qd2 Rf1 32.Kf1Ne6 33.Rd7 g5 34.Na4 Qh6 35.Nc5 Nc5 and 0-1 on move 46.

Rd.1 Squire-Thomas

Alekhine's Defence

1.e4 Nf6 2.e5 Nd5 3.c4 Nb6 4.d4 d6 5.Nf3 Bg4 6.Be2 Nc6 7.Bf4 de5 8.Ne5 Be2 9.Nc6 Bd1 10.Nd8 Rd8 11.Kd1 Rd4+ 12.Bd2 Nc4 13.Kc2 Nd2 14.Nd2 g6 15.Nf3 Rd6 16.Rac1 Bh6 17.Rcd1 Bg7 18.Rd6 cd6 19.Rd1 Kd7 20.Rd3 Rc8+ 21.Kb1 Rc5 22.h4 h5 23.Ng5? Bh6! 24.Rc3 Rc3 25.bc3 Bg5 and 0-1 on move 29.

Rd.2 Mahfoud-Formanek

Sicilian Defence

1.e4 c5 2.Nc3 Nc6 3.Nge2 Nd4 (Black is unwilling to allow white transposition back into the open Sicilian lines with a later d4.) 4.Nd4 cd4 5.Nb5 e5 6.c3 a6 7.Qa4 Rb8 8.Na3 b5 9.Qb3 Bc5 10.cd4 Bd4 11.Nc2 Bb6 12.d3 Ne7 13.Be3 Ba5+ 14.Bd2 Bd2+ 15.Kd2 0-0 16.Ne3 Bb7 17.f3 d5 18.Ke1 Db6 19.Kf2 f5 20.ed5 f4 21.d4 fe3+ 22.Kg3 Bd5 0-1

Rd.2 Nart-Eidemiller

Dutch Defence

1.d4 f5 2.g4 fg4 3.e4 d6 4.Bg5 h6 5.Bh4 g5 6.Bg3 Bg7 7.Be2 Nc6 8.c3 Nf6 9.Nd2 e5 10.de5 Ne5 11.h3 Qe7 12.Be5 Qe5 13.gh4 Bd7 14.f3 0-0-0 15.Nf1 d5 16.ed5 Nd5 17.Qd4?! Qd4 18.cd4 Bd4 19.0-0-0 c5 (White has proved his courage through his use of an exotic gambit. Unfortunately, he still has a lousy game.) 20.Bc4 Bc6 21.Ne2 Ne3 22.Be6+ Kc7 23.Nd4 Nd1 24.Nc6 Kc6 25.Rh2 Rd6 26.Bb3 Rhd8 27.Re2 b5 28.Nd2 Rd2 29.Rd2 Rd2 30.Kd2 Nb2 31.Bf7 Nc4+ 32.Ke2 Nd6 33.Be6 a5 34.Kd1 c4 35.Kc2 Kc5 36.a3 b4 0-1

Rd.2 Davis-J.K.Sowder

French Defence

1.e4 e6 2.d4 d5 3.e5 c5 4.c3 Nc6 5.f4 Nh6 6.Nf3 cd4 7.cd4 Qb6 8.Nc3 Bb4 9.a3 Bc3+ 10.bc3 Bd7 11.Be2 Nf5 12.g4 Nfe7 13.Bd2 0-0 14.Qc2 Rac8 15.Rb1 Qc7 16.h4 Na5 17.Ng5 Nc4 1-0 as 18.Qh7++

Rd. 2 Taylor-Van Dyke

Kings Indian Defence

1.d4 Nf6 2.Nf3 g6 3.g3 Bg7 4.Bg2 0-0 5.0-0 d6 6.c4 Nfd7 7.Nbd2 c5
8.d5 a6 9.a4 Rb8 10.Rb1 b5 11.b3 ba4 12.ba4 Rb8 13.Nb8 Nb6 14.Nbd2
Bd7 15.a5 Na8 16.Nb3 Ba4 17.Nfd2 Qb8 18.Re1 Qb4 19.e4 Rb8 20.Re3 Nd7
21.f4? (Black's game is hopeless anyway. After 21.Rd3 Bd4 intending
...Ne5 wins easily.) Bd4 and 0-1 on move 30.

Rd.3 Auvil-Roush

Modern Defence

1.e4 g6 2.d4 Bg7 3.Nf3 d6 4.Bc4 Nf6 5.Nc3 0-0 6.Bf4 Ne4 7.Ne4 d5
8.Bc7?! Qc7 9.Bd5 Qa5+ 10.Nc3 Rd8 11.Bb3 Nc6 12.0-0 Bg4 13.h3 Bd4
14.Qe2 Bf3 15.Qf3 Qf5?! (Better is 15...e6) 16.Qf5 gf5 17.Nd1 e6
18.c3 Bf6 19.Ne3 Rd2? (This loses a tempo and dissipates the slight
advantage black has acquired. Better is 19...Na5 although after
20.Bc2 black will not be able to avoid either liquidation of the
major pieces on the d-file or surrender of that file to white's
Rs.) 20.Nc4! Rdd8 21.Rad1 b5 22.Ne3 h5?! (Weakening!) 23.Rd8+ Rd8
24.Rd1 Rd1+ 25.Bd1 h4 26.c4 b4?! (Black avoids pawn exchanges to keep
winning chances alive. However, white seems to possess the chances
that black strives to preserve! With crippled pawns and white's
possession of a Q-side majority, Black should seek a drawish ending
instead of avoiding it! It is to be noted that white can virtually
force a passed h-pawn with g3 at some point.) 27.b3 Kf8 28.Nc2 Bc3
29.a3 a5 30.ab4 Bb4?? 31.Nb4! (+-) Nb4 32.g3! hg3 33.fg3 Nd3 34.Kg2
Kg7 (Better is ...f4 but black is in deep trouble in any case.)
35.Kf3 e5 36.Ke3 Nc5 37.Bc2 Kf6 38.h4 Ne6 (38...Kg6 is better.)
39.Bd1 f4+?? 40.gf4+ Nf4 41.Ke4 Ke6 42.Bg4+ Kd6 43.h5 f6 44.h6 Ng6
45.Kf5 Ne7+ 46.Kf6 Ng8+ 47.Kg7 Nh6 48.Kh6 Kc5 49.Be2! (Snuffing out
all hope for black. If 49.Kg5? a4! 50.ba4 Kc4 draws and if instead
50.Bc2? a3 even wins for black.) Pigheadedly, black played on a few
moves although the guaranteed result was 1-0.

Rd.3 Formanek-Borsodi

Queens Gambit Declined

1.d4 Nf6 2.c4 e6 3.Nc3 d5 4.Bg5 Be7 5.e3 h6 6.Bf6 Bf6 7.Nf3 0-0 8.cd5
ed5 9.Bd3?! c5 10.dc5 Bc3+ 11.bc3 Qa5 12.0-0 Qc5 13.Qb3 Nc6 14.Rfd1
Rb8 15.Be2 Be6 16.Nd4 Ne5 17.f4 Nc4 18.Bc4 dc4 19.Qb5 Qb5 20.Nb5 a6
21.Nd6 g6 22.e4 f5 23.ef5 Bf5 24.Rd4 b5 25.Nf5 Rf5 26.Rd6 Kg7 27.Re1
Rbf8 28.g3 R5-f6 29.Re7+ R8-f7 30.Rdd7 Re7 31.Re7+ Rf7 32.Rf7+ Kf7
33.Kf2 Ke6 34.Ke3 Kd5 35.g4 a5 36.a3 (There seems to be a popular
belief in the aquarium that most K and P endings with equal material
are drawn. No way! It seems to me that a microscopic edge can be
converted to a win in a K and P ending, when the same type of edge
leads only to a draw in other endings. My views may be a bit over
simplified, but take note that an IM and a strong expert have not
given up on this ending.) Kc5 37.Ke4 b4 38.ab4+ ab4 39.cb4+ Kb4 40.f5
c3 41.f6 c2 42.f7 c1=Q 43.f8=Q+ Kb5 44.Qb8+ Ka6 45.Qd6+ Kb5 46.Qd7+
(46.Qg6?? Qb1+) Ka6 47.Ke5 Qg5+ 48.Kd6 h5 49.h3 Qf4+ 50.Ke7 hg4
51.hg4 g5 52.Qe6+ Kb7 53.Qe2 Kc7 54.Ke6 Kc6 55.Qc2+ Kb6 56.Qf5 Kc7
57.Kf6 Kd8 58.Kg6 Ka8 59.Qg5 Qe4+ 60.Qf5 Qc6+ and black loses on time
1-0. It would take a braver soul than I to comment on white's winning
chances. Anyone out there willing to take a stab at it?

Rd. 4 Auvil-Formanek

Sicilian Defence

1.e4 c5 2.Nf3 e6 3.d4 cd4 4.Nd4 a6 5.Nc3 b5 6.Be2 Bb7 7.Bf3 Qc7 8.a3 d6 9.Be3 Nf6 10.Qd3 Nbd7 11.Nb3 Be7 12.0-0-0 0-0 13.Bf4 Ne5 14.Be5 de5 15.Qe3 Rfc8 16.Rd3 a5 17.Bd1 Qc6 18.f3 b4 19.ab4 ab4 20.Ne2 Nd7 21.Kb1 Nc5 22.Nc5 Bc5 23.Qd2 Qa6 24.c3 Qa1+ 0-1 as 25.Kc2 b3+ 26.Kb3 Qa5++. This was Terry's only loss in this tournament. Although it seems he can handle the John Roush's of the world, the Ed Formanek's are still a bit beyond his range!

Rd. 4 Taylor-Dolgovskij

Dutch Defence:

1.d4 f5 2.Nf3 Nf6 3.g3 g6 4.c4 d6 5.Bg2 Bg7 6.0-0 0-0 7.b3 c6 8.Nd2 a5 9.a4 Na6 10.Bb2 Bd7 11.Re1 Nb4 12.e4 Nd3 13.Re2 Nb2 14.Qc2 fe4 15.Ne4 Ne4 16.Re4 Nc4 17.Qc4???? d5! 0-1 The Dutch triumphs again!

Rd. 5 Toothill-Mahfoud

Nimzo-Indian Defence

1.d4 Nf6 2.c4 e6 3.Nc3 Bb4 4.e3 c5 5.Nge2 d5 6.a3 Bc3+ 7.Nc3 cd4 8.ed4 dc4 9.Bc4 Nc6 10.Be3 0-0 11.0-0 b6 12.Qf3 Bb7 13.Qg3 (13.d5?! looks interesting. Unfortunately, it appears 13...Ne5! destroys it.) Ne7 14.Bd3 Ng6 (More thematic is 14...Ned5. Black must be worried about white's K-side attacking chances.) 15.Rfd1 Nd5 16.Bg5 Qb8 17.Qb8 Rab8 18.Nb5 Ndf4 19.Bg6 Ng6 20.Na7 f6 21.Be3 Nh4 22.f3 Nf5 23.Kf2 Ra8 24.Nb4 Rfc8 25.Rac1 Bc6 26.Nc3 Ne7 27.Ne4 Be8 28.Rc8+ Rc8 29.Rc1 Rc1 30.Bc1 Nd5 31.Bd2 Kf8 32.Bb4?! Nb4 33.ab4 Ke7 34.Ke3 Kd8 35.Kd3 Bb5+ 36.Ke3 Kc7 37.Nc3 Bc4 38.Ke4 Bf1 39.g3 Bg2 40.Ke3 Bf1 41.Kf4 h6 42.Ke4 Bc4 43.h4 g5 44.f4 Kd6 45.fg5 fg5 46.hg5 hg5 47.Kf3 Ke7 48.Kg4 Kf6 49.Ne4+ Ke7 50.Kg5 and 1-0 on move 57.

Rd. 5 Prokhov-Borsodi

Grunfeld Defence

1.d4 Nf6 2.c4 g6 3.Nc3 d5 4.Nf3 Bg7 5.Bf4 0-0 6.e3 c6 7.Qb3 dc4 8.Bc4 b5 9.Bd3 Be6 10.Qc2 Nh5 11.Be5 f6 12.Bg3 Na6 13.a3 Ng3 14.hg3 Bf7 15.b4 Nc7 16.Ne4 a5 17.0-0 ab4 18.ab4 1-0 Peculiar. White has an edge, but can't black fight on with 18...Bd5? Admittedly 19.Nc5 (Threatening e4) Bf3 20.gf3 with the idea of Kg2 and Rh1 is unpleasant, but resignation seems premature.

Rd.5 Formanek-Ivanov (The main event!)

Nimzo-Indian Defence

1.d4 Nf6 2.c4 e6 3.Nc3 Bb4 4.f3 d5 5.a3 Be7 6.e4 de4 7.fe4 e5 8.d5 Bc5 9.Bg5 Bd4 10.Qc2 h6 (On his score sheet Ivanov awarded this move a "?". Who are we to argue?) 11.Bf6 Qf6 12.Nb5 Na6 13.Nd4 ed4 14.Nf3 Bg4 15.Bd3 Nc5 16.0-0 0-0 17.Rae1 (Both Formanek and Ivanov awarded this move a "?", but I'm not sure why.) Rae8 18.e5 Qb6 19.b4 Nd3 20.Qd3 c5 21.bc5 Qc5 22.Qd4 Rc8 23.Qc5 Rc5 24.Nd2 b5 25.Re4 Bc8 26.d6 Be6 27.cb5 Rb5 28.Nf3 Rb3 29.Rb4 Rb4 (If 29...Ra3 30.Rb7 Bd5!? 31.Re7 Bf3!? 32.Rf3! Ra1+ 33.Rf1 Ra2 34.Rd1 threatening d7 and either Re8 or d8=Q looks strong. Of course, the next note may invalidate this analysis.) Rb4 30.ab4 Ra4 (Clearly an impossible move. Unfortunately, both players' score sheets give this move, both players' scoresheets agree up to this point, and they agree with the text I have typed.

If this were a game between 2 class "C" players, I would toss it in the trash and forget it. I don't feel I can do this with this game. Obviously some moves have been left out. White's R is not at f1, but somewhere where it can go to d3 next move. Black's R was not at f8, but someplace where it was able to play to a4. One of you detectives figure out what the most likely missing moves were and send me your guesses. The rest should take heart from the fact that even 2 IM's can't always keep an accurate scoresheet!) 31.Rd3 Bd7 32.e6 Be6 33.d7 Bd7 34.Rd7 Rb4 35.Ra7 Re4 36.Kf2 g6 37.Rd7 Kg7 38.h3 Kf6 39.Nh2 Ra4 40.Na4+ Ke6 41.Rd3 f5 42.Nh6 Rh4 43.Re3+ Kf6 44.Ng8+ Kf7 45.Ne7 1-0 (Cross table on next page)

UPCOMING TOURNAMENTS!!

August 10-11 Kanawha Valley Open; 5 ss; TL 45/105; Conference Center, Building 7; State Capitol, Charleston; EF \$7, jr. \$5 if recieved by 8/8, \$2 more at site; WVCA membership required: \$7, jr. \$4; Prizes: \$100-\$50 Gtd, more per entries; Reg.: 9am-9:45am; Rds. 10/2:30/7,9:30/2; D.Griffith, 2718 Lakeview Drive, St. Albans, WV 25177, NC

August 11 Concord Tornado; 4 ss; TL 40/60; Student Union, Concord College, Athens, WV; EF \$5; Prizes per entry; reg. 8am-8:45am; Rd. 1-9am, At site

August 18 Capitol City Tornado; 4ss; TL 40/60, 10/15; Site-Same as K.V.O.; EF \$5; Prizes per entry; Reg. 8am-8:30am; Rds. 9/11:30/2/4:30; At site NS, NC

August 31-September 2 West Virginia State Championship; 6 ss; TL 50/2; Tygart Lodge, Tygart State Park, Grafton, WV 26354; EF \$12, jr. \$7 if postmarked by 8/24, \$4 more at site; WVCA Membership required: \$7, jr. \$4; Prizes \$160-\$100/B, C, D, E-UNR, \$40-\$20 Gtd; Reg. 9am-10am; Rds. 11:30/4:30, 9/2, 9/2; HR: WV resident 1-800-624-9058, others 1-800-624-8632; D. Bruner, PO Box 57, Grafton, WV 26354; LS, NC

September 8 Concord Tornado: Same as August 11.

September 15 Capitol City Tornado: Same as August 18

October 20 Capitol City Tornado: Same as August 18

October 26-27 Bluefield Open; 5 ss; TL 40/110; Sheraton Inn, US Route 460, Bluefield, WV 24701; EF \$12, jr. \$7 if recieved by 10/12, \$4 more at site; OPEN SECTION: \$200-100-50/A, B \$50-25 Gtd. AMATEUR (Under 1600 and UNR): \$175-75-25/C, D, (E&UNR) \$50-25 Gtd.; BOTH Reg. 8am-9:30am, Rds. 10/2/7, 9/2; HR: Enquire at Sheraton Inn, Group rates available; Mercer County Chess Association, Rt. 3 Box 102-B, Princeton, WV 24740; LS, NC

November 10 Concord Tornado: Same as August 11

November 17 Capitol City Tornado: Same as August 18

December 8 Concord Tornado: Same as August 11

December 15. Capitol City Tornado: Same as August 18

GRAFTON \$1000 OPEN

TO	PLAYER	ST	PRE RTNG	POST RTNG						TOT
					1	2	3	4	5	
11349257	1 FORMANEK, EDWARD W	PA	2463	2478	W29	W12	W-5	W-6	W-3	5.0
10145791	2 EIDEMILLER, MARK B	PA	2246	2246	W30	W10	D-8	W18	W-7	4.5
12237320	3 IVANOV, IGUR		2573	2567	W26	W13	W23	W-4	L-1	4.0
12453855	4 TOOTHILL, ANDREW		2192/1	2215	W20	W15	W11	L-3	W12	4.0
10150213	5 HORSODI, RALPH W	PA	2102	2107	W32	W16	L-1	X--	W13	4.0
12402877	6 AUVIL, TERRY R	WV	1540	1737	W24	W14	W39	L-1	W11	4.0
12332420	7 GARNER, EDWARD A	WV	2016	2015	W34	D18	W33	W-8	L-2	3.5
10367719	8 STEPP, ROBERT V	NY	1969	1979	W28	W27	D-2	L-7	W18	3.5
10194458	9 MORRIS, JON W	VA	1937	1909	L17	W35	D30	W31	W19	3.5
10144850	10 MARTIN, J GREG	PA	1751	1770	W42	L-2	D32	W30	W21	3.5
10180000	11 OHLER, JOE	PA	1879	1880	W35	W17	L-4	W34	L-6	3.0
12394346	12 MAHFUDD, SAMIR W	KY	1857	1870	W41	L-1	W20	W16	L-4	3.0
12406433	13 PROKHOD, VASSIL K	PA	1857	1896	W21	L-3	W27	W23	L-5	3.0
10144853	14 HUVIT, TIMOTHY P	PA	1848	1834	W37	L-6	L18	W32	W27	3.0
12259600	15 DAVIS, PAUL W.	WV	1786	1771	W40	L-4	L34	W35	W28	3.0
10201603	16 SKEER, JERRY W	WV	1721	1735	W36	L-5	W17	L12	W34	3.0
12415294	17 SUNDER, JAMES L	WV	1639	1664	W-9	L11	L16	W43	W29	3.0
12396361	18 HINES, DAVID F	WV	1704	1732	W44	D-7	W14	L-2	L-8	2.5
10204520	19 SWUIRE, WILLIAM	WV	1687	1666	L22	D41	W37	W33	L-9	2.5
12412302	20 CONLEY, MICHAEL E	WV	1595	1602	L-4	W40	L12	W42	D22	2.5
12396362	21 DAVIS, BRIAN E	WV	1296	1397	L13	W26	W29	D24	L10	2.5
12440094	22 THOMAS, SCOTT W	WV	1123	1228	W19	L33	L24	W37	D20	2.5
11264239	23 JONES, CRAIG W	PA	2170	2151	W31	W25	L-3	L13	U--	2.0
10170265	24 MELBOURNE, KEITH J	DC	1970	1941	L-6	D37	W22	D21	U--	2.0
12444029	25 WOOD, ROBERT HOWAR	MD	1747/4	1788	W38	L23	W28	F--	U--	2.0
11158161	26 SUNDER, JIMMY K	WV	1682	1650	L-3	L21	L36	W44	W42	2.0
12428462	27 MIERCE, RANDY E	WV	1612	1632	W39	L-8	L13	W40	L14	2.0
12439206	28 DULGOSKI, CONSTA	WV	1611	1604	L-8	W46	L25	W36	L15	2.0
12402493	29 WINDISCH, DWAYNE W	MD	1611	1596	L-1	W42	L21	W41	L17	2.0
12396617	30 BRUNER, DAVID LEE	WV	1604	1608	L-2	W38	D-9	L10	D32	2.0
11209236	31 RILEY, TERRY J	WV	1565	1561	L23	W44	H--	L-9	D33	2.0
12324940	32 VA. OYKE, JIM A	PA	1564	1580	L-5	W36	D10	L14	D30	2.0
12402907	33 MGRAN, WILLIAM DER	WV	1548	1557	H--	W22	L-7	L19	D31	2.0
10168643	34 VIGGIANO, JOSEPH T	DE	1522	1539	L-7	W45	W15	L11	L16	2.0
12417904	35 FLIOTT, PHILLIP D	WV	1392	1411	L11	L-9	W38	L15	W40	2.0
12415584	36 TAYLOR, SR JOHN W	WV	1263	1300	L16	L32	W26	L28	W41	2.0
12409573	37 CADDY, DANNY R	WV	1441	1444	L14	D24	L19	L22	W43	1.5
12410750	38 SINGLETON, DAVID W	WV	1293	1281	L25	L30	L35	H--	W44	1.5
10201411	39 WOODS, JOHN EVERET	WV	2193	2155	L27	W43	L-6	U--	U--	1.0
12414533	40 CURRENT, RICK	WV	1349	1326	L15	L20	W46	L27	L35	1.0
12418741	41 SHYDER, RONALD	WV	1299	1292	L12	D19	D43	L29	L36	1.0
12443127	42 RICCOBONO, PHILIP	MD	1293/4	1308	L10	L29	W45	L20	L26	1.0
12432729	43 TORIO, LAWRENCE E	WV	1164	1162	H--	L39	D41	L17	L37	1.0
12430811	44 HOBENS, TIMOTHY M	WV	1032	1023	L18	L31	H--	L26	L38	.5
12375990	45 HALL, PERRY L	WV	1182/10	1155	U--	L34	L42	U--	U--	.0
12453856	46 O'CONNOR, B CAMERC	WV	0	1080	U--	L28	L40	U--	U--	.0

CHARLESTON OPEN

John Everett Roush and Parley Long of Ohio tied for first place at the 1985 Charleston Open. John and Parley drew their individual encounter in round 3 and won the rest of their games to post 4 1/2-1/2 records. The event was held at the Appalachian Power Building on May 8-9.

The arrival of a contingent of Ohio players moments before the beginning of the first round distinguished this tournament from the run-of-the-mill Charleston tourney. The Buckeyes did quite well, threatening to sweep the tournament until the very last round. As mentioned, Long tied for first and Fred Whitacre had a fine tournament. Fred beat Bob Bukovac, drew Joe Barker, and locked horns with John Roush for first place in the last round. Fortunately for Mountaineer pride (and Roush ego!), Fred lost his last round narrowly missing a stunning first place.

Here are a few games from this tournament:

Rd. 1 Fergusson-Hohn

Kings Indian Attack

1.e4 d6 2.Nf3 g6 3.g3 Bg7 4.Bg2 Nf6 5.d3 c5 (Black must confront a dilemma for all hypermoderns: How do you attack the center when it is modest and solid rather than overextended?) 6.0-0 Nc6 7.Nbd2 0-0 8.a4 Ng4 9.Nc4 f5 (This is going off the deep end somewhat. It is not unusual for the K.I.A. to drive frustrated opponents to this type of rash action.) 10.h3 fe4 11.de4 Be6 12.Ng5!? (Insane.) Bc4 13.Qg4 Bf1 14.Bf1 Ne5 15.Bc4+ Kh8 16.Qe2 Nc4 17.Qc4 Qa5 (17...Qe8!? 18.Ne6 Qf7 is possible.) 18.Be3 Bb7 19.Rb1 Qc3 20.Nf7+ Rf7 21.Qf7 Qc2? 22.Qa2 b6 23.Rb2 Qe4 24.Qf7 Qa4 25.Rb1 Qd7 26.Bg5 Qf5 27.Qe7 Qb1+? (Necessary is 27...Rf8) 28.Kh2 Kg8 29.Bf6 1-0

1 Fields-M.Cotton

Nimzo-English

1.c4 (This is Jim's first tournament game in about 8 years I believe. Apparently the lad still sports the English.) e6 2.Nc3 Bb4 3.g3 Bc3 4.bc3 Nf6 5.Bg2 d6 6.d4 h6 7.Qb3 c6 8.e4 e5 9.Ne2 Nbd7 10.0-0 Qb6 11.Qc2 c5 (A common blockading motif designed to render white's 2 Bs useless.) 12.d5 Qc7 13.f4 Nb6?! (Better is 13...0-0 to meet 14.fe5 with Ne5) 14.fe5 de5 15.Rb1 Nc4? (It is quite a shame. This gross blunder spoils what was shaping up to be an interesting contest. Black should have played ...0-0 and tried to manover a N to d6.) 16.Qa4+ Bd7 17.Qc4 a6 18.Ba3?! Bb5 19.Rb5 ab5 20.Qb5+ Nd7 21.Qb3 0-0 22.Bc1 Qb6 23.Be3 Ra5 24.c4 Rfa8 25.Nc1 Qc7 26.Qd1 Qd6 27.Qf3 Rf8 28.Qf2 Nb6 29.Nb3 Ra6 30.Bc5 Qd8 31.Bf8 Qf8 32.Nc5 Ra3 33.Ne6 1-0

Rd.1 Funkhouser-Jawalekar

Queens Gambit Declined

1.d4 d5 (Jawalekar was the only one to defeat Donald Griffith in the Charleston Chess Club Championship. He must be considered a threat by all.) 2.c4 e6 3.Nc3 Nf6 4.Bg5 Be7 5.e3 0-0 6.Qc2 Nbd7 7.Nf3 b6 8.cd5 ed5 9.Bd3 c5 10.0-0 Bb7 11.Rac1 Rc8 12.Rfd1 Re6 13.dc5 Bc5 14.Bh7+ Kh8 15.Bf5 Qc7 16.Bf6 gf6 17.Qa4 Bc6 18.Qh4+ Kg7 19.Qh7+ Kf8 20.Nd5 Bd5 21.Rd5 1-0

Rd.2 Bukovac-Fields

Sicilian Defence

1.e4 c5 2.Nf3 d6 3.d4 cd4 4.Nd4 Nf6 5.Nc3 a6 6.Bg5 e6 7.f4 Be7 8.Qf3 Nbd7 9.0-0-0 Qc7 10.g4 h6 11.Bf6 gf6 12.Bg2 (Bukovac, who claims(?) to be a real man, must have agonized quite a bit over the unclear 12.Nf5!?) ef5 13.Nd5) Rb8 13.Rhe1 b5 14.Qe2 b4 15.Nd5 (White has secured control of the e-file. The sac does not even look risky now.)ed5 16.ed5 Ne5 17.fe5 fe5 18.Nc6 Bg5+ 19.Kb1 Rb6 20.Ne5 de5 21.d6 Qc5 22.Rd5 Qc3 23.bc3 bc3+ 24.Ka1 Be6 25.d7+ Ke7 26.d8=Q Rd8 27.Rd8 Rb2 28.Qa6 Kd8 29.Bd5 Rc2 30.Qd6+ 1-0

Rd.2 Russett-Barker

Sicilian Defence

1.e4 c5 2.Nf3 d6 3.d4 cd4 4.Nd4 Nf6 5.Nc3 e6 6.Bg5 Be7 7.f4 h6 8.Bf6 Bf6 9.Bc4 0-0 10.Qd3 Nc6 11.Ndb5 Qb6 12.Nd6? Qb2! 0-1

Rd.3 Long-Roush

Alekhines Defence

1.e4 Nf6 2.e5 Nd5 3.d4 d6 4.c4 Nb6 5.ed6 cd6 6.Nc3 g6 7.Nf3 Bg7 8.Be3 0-0 9.Be2 Nc6 10.0-0 Bg4 11.b3 d5 12.Ne5!?) (A new move as far as I know.) Be2 13.Qe2 dc4 14.Nc6 bc6 15.bc4 Bd4 16.Rad1 c5 17.Ne4 Qc7 18.Bd4 cd4 19.c5 Nd5 20.Rd4 Nf4 21.Qd2 Rfd8 22.Nf6+ ef6 23.Qf4 Qc5 24.Rd8 Rd8 25.Qf6 Qd4 1/2-1/2

Rd.4 Lewis-Baker

French Defence

1.e4 e6 2.d4 d5 3.ed5 ed5 4.Nc3 Nf6 5.Bg5 Be7 6.Nf3 0-0 7.Bd3 c6 8.Bf6 Bf6 9.0-0 Nd7 10.Qe2 Re8 11.Qd2 Nf8 12.Ne2 b6 13.Rfe1 Rb8 14.Ng3 c5 15.Qf4 Be6 16.Bb5 Re7 17.c3 Bd7 18.Re7 Be7 19.Bd7 Nd7 20.Ne5 Ne5 21.Qe5 Bf6 22.Qf4 cd4 23.cd4 Rc8 24.Nh5! Rc4 25.Nf6+ Qf6? 26.Qb8+ 1-0

Rd.4 Barker-Whitacre

Catalan Opening

1.d4 d5 2.Nf3 e6 3.c4 Nf6 4.g3 c6 5.Bg2 Bb4+ 6.Bd2 Be7 7.Nc3 0-0 8.0-0-Ne4 9.cd5 Nd2 10.Qd2 (According to Barker, 10.Nd2 was preferable.) ed5 11.Rfe1 Nd7 12.e4 de4 13.Ne4 Nf6 14.Nc5 Bc5 15.dc5 Qd2 16.Nd2 Be6 17.b4 Rad8 18.Nb3 Nd5 19.Bd5 Rd5 20.Na5 Rd7 21.Red1 Rfd8 22.Rd7 Rd7 23.a3 Kf8 1/2-1/2

Rd.4 Lane-Morgan

Pirc Defence

1.e4 d6 2.d4 Nf6 3.Nc3 g6 4.f4 Bg7 5.Nf3 0-0 6.Bd3 Bg4 7.h3 Bf3 8.Qf3 Nbd7 9.Be3 c5 10.e5 cd4 11.Bd4 Ne8 12.Qe2 Qa5 13.0-0-0 de5 14.fe5 Ne5 15.Rhe1 Nd3+ 16.Qd3 e5 17.Be3 e4 18.Ne4 Qa2 19.c3 Qa1+ 20.Kc2 Qa4+ 21.Kb1 Nf6 22.Nf6 Bf6 23.Bh6 Rfd8 24.Qf3 Rd1+ 25.Rd1 Re8 26.Rf1 Re6 27.Qb2 Re7 28.Qa8+ Re8 29.Qf3 Re6 30.Qd3 Be7 31.Rd1 Rd6 32.Qd6 Bd6 33.Rd6 f5 34.Rf6 1/2-1/2 (Black threatens perpetual check with Qd1+ and Qa4+. White can block this with Bc1, but that lets black's K out of the mating net.)

Rd.5 Marples-Lewis

Queen Pawn Opening

1.d4 d5 2.Nf3 Bg4 3.Ne5 Nf6 4.g3 Be6 5.Bg2 Nbd7 6.Nd7 Qd7 7.0-0 Bh3
8.c4 Bg2 9.Kg2 dc4 10.Nc3 0-0-0 11.e3 Qc6+ 12.Kg1 e5 13.Qc2 ed4
14.ed4 Bb4 15.a3 Bc3 16.bc3 h5 17.Bg5 Qb5 18.h4 Rd6 19.Rab1 Qc6
20.Rfe1 Re6 21.Bf6 Rf6 22.Re7 Re8 23.Rbe1 Re6 24.Re1-e6 fe6 25.Rg7 b6
26.Rg5 Qa4?? 27.Qa4 1-0

Rd. 5 Miller-Markette

Two Knights Defence

1.e4 e5 2.Nf3 Nc6 3.Bc4 Nf6 4.Nc3 d6 5.d3 Be7 6.Be3 0-0 7.Qe2 Na5
8.0-0-0!? (This livens up what was developing into a rather dull
affair.) Be6 9.Be6 fe6 10.d4 Ng4 11.Rf1 Nc6 12.h3 Ne3 13.fe3 ed4
14.ed4 Bg4+ 15.Kb1 Qe7 16.d5 Ne5 17.Ne5 de5 18.Qc4 Rf1 19.Rf1 Re8
20.de6 Qe6 21.Qc7 Rc8 22.Qb7 Kh8 23.Bf7 Qc4 24.a3 Bf6 25.g4 Qf7+
26.Ka2 Qc4+ 27.Ka1 Qf1+ 28.Nb1 Rg8 29.g5 1-0

Rd.5 Long-Barker

Sicilian Defence

1.e4 c5 2.d4 cd4 3.c3 dc3 4.Nc3 d6 5.Nf3 e6 6.Bc4 Nf6 7.0-0 Be7 8.Qe2
0-0 9.Rd1 Ne8 10.Bf4 Nd7 11.Rac1 a6 12.Bb3 b5 13.e5 Qb6 14.Be3 Qb8
15.ed6 Bd6 16.Ne4 Bf4? (Barker believes 16...Be7! is better.) 17.Rc8
Qc8 18.Bf4 Nef6 19.Nd6 Qc5 20.Ng5 Nd5 21.Bd5 ed5 22.Rc1 Qb4 23.g3 h6
24.Nf7 Nf6 25.Qe6 Kh7 26.Qf5+ Kg8 27.Nh6+ 1-0 and thus Parley departs
Charleston with considerably more rating points than he possessed on
arrival.

Rd. 5 Whitacre-Roush

Alekhines Defence

1.e4 Nf6?! 2.e5 Nd5 3.d4 d6 4.f4 Bf5 5.Bd3 Bd3 6.Qd3 c6 (Theory gives
6...de5. At the board I feared 7.Qb5+ but 7...Nc6! answers nicely. If
8.de5 Ndb4 =+ according to ECO or 8.Qb7? Nd4! 9.Na3 e6 and [a]10.c3
Ba3 11.cd4 Bb4+ 12.Bd2?! Bd2+ 13.Kd2 Rb8 =+ [b]10.Nf3 Bb4+ 11.Nc3
(11.Bd2? Nf3+ 12.gf3 Bd2+ 13.Kd2 Nf4+ -+) Nf3+ 12.gf3 Ba3 13.Qc3+ Ke7
14.ba3 Qd6 =+ according to JER. Of course, ECO is a bit more reliable
than JER!) 7.Nf3 e6 8.0-0 Na6 9.c3 Qc7 10.a4 (Probably intended to
discourage ...0-0-0) 0-0-0 11.c4 Ndb4 12.Qe2 Be7 13.Nc3 h6 14.Be3 d5
15.Rfc1 Kb8 16.cd5 Nd5 17.Nd5 (Instead 17.Nb5!? Qd7 18.Nd6 Bd6 19.ed6
f6! [19...Qd6? 20.Ne5 Rhf8!? 21.Nc6+] 20.b4!? is unclear.) Rd5 18.Ne1
g5 (Black loosens his position a bit. It is hard to sit behind a
position without trying something.) 19.Nd3 Nb4 20.Nb4 Bb4 21.Rc4 (If
21.fg4 hg4 22.Bg4 Rd4 white's K looks shaky, but looks can't kill
despite the popular belief to the contrary.) Be7 22.Qc2 a5 23.Rac1
Qb6 24.g3 gf4 25.gf4 f5!? (The idea behind 25...f5 is to lock white's
B behind his pawns. If 26.ef6 Bf6 white's K once again looks
shaky.) 26.Qg2 Qd8 27.Kh1 Rg8 28.Qc2 Qb6 29.Rg1 Rg1+ 30.Kg1 Qd8 31.Qc3
Bb4 32.Qc2 c5! 33.Qf2! (Instead 33.dc5? Rd1+ 34.Kf2 [34.Kg2 Qg8+
leads to a position similar to the main note] Qh4+ 35.Ke2 Qg4+ 36.Kf2
Be1+ 37.Kf1 Bh4+ 38.Qd1+ Qd1+ 39.Kg2 Qe2+ -+) Qd7 34.Qc2?? cd4 35.Bd4
Rd4 36.Rd4 Qd4+ 37.Qf2 Bc5 38.Qd4 Bd4+ 39.Kg2 Bb2 40.Kh3 Bc1 41.Kg3
b5 0-1

PLAYER	ST	PRE		POST					TOT
		RTNG	RTNG	1	2	3	4	5	
1 ROUSH, JOHN EVERET	AV	2138	2164	W12	W13	A-4	W-5	D-3	4.5
2 WALKER, JAMES H	AV	1905	1921	W22	W10	L-5	W14	W-9	4.0
3 BARKER, JR MARVIN	WV	2186	2166	W16	L-7	W17	W-6	D-1	3.5
4 BUKOVAC, ROBERT F	AV	2107	2100	W19	W14	L-1	W15	D-5	3.5
5 GRIFFITH, DONALD W	AV	2074	2073	A-8	A-9	A-2	L-1	D-4	3.5
6 SMITH, PAUL K	DR	1798	1817	W11	D17	A-7	L-3	W13	3.5
7 SKEEN, JERRY W	WV	1700	1719	W21	W-3	L-6	L-9	W19	3.0
8 CHANG, ANGELA	WV	1692	1697	L-5	W23	L14	W21	W15	3.0
9 ELIOTT, PHILLIP D	WV	1308	1392	W23	L-5	W10	W-7	L-2	3.0
10 FERGUSON, CHARLES	WV	1306	1410	W15	L-2	L-9	W22	W14	3.0
11 HGLINE, BEN J	AV	1385	1417	L-6	L12	W23	D16	W21	2.5
12 MARPLES, DAVID F	AV	1911	1890	L17	W11	W16	U--	U--	2.0
13 CHANG, ALEX F	WV	1805	1768	W20	L-1	L18	W17	L-6	2.0
14 FOX, STEVEN A	WV	1691	1683	W24	L-4	A-8	L-2	L10	2.0
15 SOWDER, JIMMY K	WV	1686	1669	L10	W22	W19	L-4	L-8	2.0
16 JAWALEKAR, RAJU V	AV	1609/13	1614	L-3	W21	L12	D11	D17	2.0
17 HODGE, RUSS E	WV	1586	1616	W12	D-6	L-3	L13	D16	2.0
18 CONLEY, MICHAEL E	WV	1582	1611	L-1	W20	W13	U--	U--	2.0
19 MC CLELLAND, JR ED	WV	1560	1549	L-4	W24	L15	W20	L-7	2,
20 HALL, BRADLEY E	WV	1312	1330	L13	L18	W22	L19	W23	2
21 SOWDER, JAMES L	AV	1463	1428	L-7	L16	W24	L-8	L11	
22 MILLER, GLENN S	WV	1456	1426	L-2	L15	L20	L10	W24	
23 TAYLOR, JR JOHN R	AV	1173/14	1188	L-9	L-8	L11	W24	L20	
24 TAYLOR, SR JOHN R	WV	1246	1205	L14	L19	L21	L23	L22	

CONCORD MARCH TORNADO

Robert Bukovac won the Concord March Tornado with a perfect 4-0 performance. Tied for second with 3-1 records were Dr. Bernard Kiernan, Duane Caldwell, David Myers, and Doug Rubinstein. The tourney was held March 10. It drew 20 players to Athens.

Here is the cross-table from the event:

1. R. Bukovac	2091	W12	W15	W10	W3	4.0
2. B. Kiernan	2017	W13	L3	W5	W7	3.0
3. D. Caldwell	1727	W18	W2	W9	L1	3.0
4. D. Myers	1700	W11	L3	W17	W13	3.0
5. D. Rubinstein	1695	W20	W8	L2	W10	3.0
6. C. Simpson	1522	W7	L10	D15	W16	2.5
7. J. Walker*	1305	L6	W14	W11	L2	2.0
8. H. Mitchell	1858	W16	L5	L13	W17	2.0
9. S. Karell	1809	W14	W4	L3	wd	2.0
10. J. Gibbs	1738	W17	W6	L1	L5	2.0
11. F. Doonan	1663	L4	W19	L7	W20	2.0
12. E. Mills	1635	L1	L17	W18	W15	2.0
13. A. Cole	1572	L2	W20	W8	L4	2.0
14. M. Rubinstein	1395	L8	L7	W20	W18	2.0
15. L. Lafferty	1723	W18	L1	D6	L12	1.5
16. P. Lester	1503	L8	L18	W19	L6	1.0
17. C. Waugh	1395	L10	W12	L4	L8	1.0
18. D. Dawson	UNR	L15	W16	L12	L14	1.0
19. L. Graham	1350	L3	L11	L16	wd	0.0
20. D. McKinney	1153	L5	L13	L14	L11	0.0

* King's Gambiteer, 1...e5ers beware!

CAPITOL CITY OPEN

"Quest for master, Part I"

John Everett Roush slid to a 4 1/2 -1/2 record and clear first at the Capitol City Open. Jim Walker finished second with a 4-1 score. The event was held January 26-27 at the Appalachian Power Building in Charleston, West Virginia. A total of 24 players slugged it out for the honors this year.

As the subtitle of this article indicates, this tournament could have been the setting for Joe Barker's triumphant ascension to masterhood. Prior to this tournament, Joe's rating had crept up to 2186. All Joe needed was a decent result to push him over the magic 2200. Unfortunately, as all "questors" must learn soon or late: "Sometimes you get the dragon, sometimes the dragon gets you!" (This quote applies to 1.e4 players as well!) At the Capitol City Open, the dragon which devoured Joe's hope of reaching masterhood was Jerry Skeen. Jerry's victory over Joe in round 2 effectively halted Joe's upward surge to 2200.

Here are a selection of games from this tournament which was directed by Dennis Funkhouser.

Rd.1 Jimmy Sowder-Ferguson

Kings Indian Defence

1.d4 Nf6 2.c4 g6 3.Nc3 Bg7 4.g3 c5 5.dc5 Qa5 6.Bg2 Qc5 7.Qd3 Nc6 8.Be3 Qa5 9.Nf3 0-0 10.0-0 d6 11.a3 Bf5 12.Qd2 Ne4 13.Ne4 Be4 14.b4 Qa4 15.Nd4 (Black seems to be getting the upper hand. His Bs rake the Q-side and white's Q-side pawns are exposed to attack. At some point white needs to "mix it up" in order to steer the game away from its logical conclusion as the logical conclusion to the game at this point is for white to get slaughtered. An interesting way of "mixing it up" at this point is 15.Bh6?! Ba1?! 16.Ra1 Re6?! 17.Qc3 f6 [17...e5? 18.Ne5! (a)...Re5 19.Be4 +- or (b)...Ne5 19.Be4 f6 20.Bd5+ Kh2 21.h3 with the idea of f4 or immediately 21.f4 Ng4 22.Bg5 Qd7 23.h3 Ne5 24.fe5 fg5 25.ed6+ Qg7 26.Qg7+ Kg7 27.e4 +-] 18.h3!? [18.Ng5 Bg2 19.Bg2 Ne5 20.f4 Ng4 or 20.Ne6 Ng4] and white's attack is dangerous although speculative. If [a]18...Bf5 19.g4 Bd7 20.g5! [b]18...Ne5?! 19.Qd4 Nf3+ 20.af3 Be6 21.b5! Bd7 when the possibilities of 22.f4, 22.Qd5+, and 22.g4 are obscure; [c]18...e5 19.Qe2 f5! 20.Qd2 Rad8 21.Ng5 Bg2 22.Kg2 Nd7!? 23.Ne6 Rd7 24.c5!? dc5 25.Qa2 Rd5 26.Nc7 Qc6 27.Ne6 Qe8 28.Bg5 +- . Black can probably improve at some point, but it is surely easier for him to go wrong after 15.Bh6?! than it is after 15.Nd4.) Bg2 16.Kg2 Ne5 17.Qa2 Rfc8 18.Ra1 a5! (Getting at white's weak Q-side pawns.) 19.f4 Nc6 (Also good is 18...Ng4! 20.Bg1 ab4) 20.Nc6 Qc6+ 21.Kg1 ab4 22.Kf2 ba3 and white is dead in the water though he struggles on valiantly until move 56 (0-1)

Rd 1 Walker-Miller

Kings Gambit

1.e4 e5 2.f4 ef4 3.Nf3 d6 4.d4 Bg4?! (Better is 4...g5 or 4...Nf6) 5.Bf4 Nc6 6.Be2 Qf6?! 7.Be3 h6 8.0-0 Qg6 9.Nc3 Be7 10.d5 Bh3 11.Rf2 Ne5 12.Ne5 de5 13.Bh5 Qd6 14.Bf7+ Kd8 15.hg3 Nf6 16.Qd2 g5 17.Rxf1

Qd7 18.Be6 Qe8 19.d6 Bd6 20.Rf6 Ke7 21.Rf7+ Kd8 22.Rd7+ Qd7 23.Bd7
Kd7 24.Rf7+ Ke6 25.Qd5++ 1-0

Rd. 2 Barker-Skeen

Queen Pawn Game

1.d4 d5 2.Nf3 Bf5 3.g3 Nbd7 4.Bg2 c6 5.0-0 h6 6.Nbd2 Ngf6 7.c4 e6
8.b3 Be7 9.Bb2 Ne4 10.Ne5 Nd2 11.Qd2.Ne5 12.de5 Qb6 (Also possible is
12...dc4 13.Qd8 Rd8 14.e4 Bg6 15.bc4 with roughly equal chances.
Black's QB is shut out of the game, but white's QB is no wonder
either.) 13.cd5 cd5 14.Bd4 Bc5 15.Bc5 Qc5 16.Rfc1 Qb6 17.Qc3 0-0 (A
wild alternative is 17...Kd7 18.e4! de4 19.Rd1+ Ka7 20.Rd6 Rhc8
21.Rb6 Rc3 22.Rb7+ Kf8 or 21.Qd2 Rd8 22.Rad1 Rd6) 18.Qc7 Qb5 19.e3 b6
20.Bf1 Bd3 21.Bd3 Qd3 22.Rc3 Qa6 23.Rac1!? Qa2 (Never let it be said
Jerry Skeen lacks boldness!)
24.Qb7 Rab8 25.Qd7 Rbd8 26.Qb5 (Barker rejects the tacit draw
offer, i.e. 26.Qb7 Rbd8=. Apparently the vast rating difference
contributed to this decision as objectively white cannot hope for
more than a draw.) d4! 27.ed4 Rd4 28.Rc8 Rfd8 29.h4 Qd2! 30.Qa6 Rd4-d7
31.Rc1-c2 Qe1+! 32.Kg2 Qe4+ 33.Kh2 Kh7 34.Rc2-c4? Qe2 35.Rd8 Rd8
36.Qa7 Qf2+ 37.Kh3 Rd1 38.Qb7 Qf5+ 39.g4 Rd3+ 40.Kg2 Rd2+ 41.Kh3 Qd3+
0-1

Rd.2 Fox-Bukovac

Gruenfield Defence

1.c4 Nf6 2.Nc3 d5 3.d4 g6 4.Nf3 Bg7 5.e3 0-0 6.cd5 Nd5 7.Bd3 c5 8.e4?
Nc3 9.bc3 cd4 10.c4 Nc6 11.0-0 b6 12.Rb1 e5 13.Ba3 Re8 14.c5 Rb8
15.Bb5 Bd7 16.Qa4 Qc7 17.Rfc1 (Energetic play has given white some
compensation for his pawn, but not nearly enough.) Rec8 18.Rc2 bc5
19.Rbc1 a6 20.Bc6 Qc6 21.Qc6 Rc6 22.h3 Rbc8 23.Rc5 Rc5 24.Rc5 Rc5
25.Bc5 Bb5 (25...Bc6 with the idea of ...f5 looks quicker, but in
this position black wins as he pleases.) 26.Nd2 Bh6 27.Nb3 f5 28.ef5
gf5 29.g3 d3 30.Be3 Be3 31.fe3 Kf7 32.Kf2 Bc4 33.g4 fg4 34.hg4 Bb3
35.ab3 e4 0-1

Rd. 3 Conley-Alex Chang

Kings Indian Defence

1.d4 Nf6 2.Nf3 g6 3.c4 Bg7 4.Nc3 0-0 5.e3?! (Passive beyond need.) d6
6.g3 Nbd7 7.Bg2.c5 (A reasonable alternative is 7...e5 with an
eventual ...e4 in the air.) 8.d5 Nb6!? (blocking the b-pawn) 9.Qd3
Bd7 10.a4?! (Better is 10.b3) a6 11.e4 h6 12.0-0 Qe8 13.a5 Nc8
14.Ra2?! Na7 15.e5 de5 16.Ne5 Bf5 17.Qe3 Rc8 18.Na4 Nd7 19.Nd7 Qd7
20.Nc5 (Better is 20.Nb6) Qc7 21.b4 Rcd8 22.Re1 (22.Nb7!? Qb7? 23.d6
Qd7 24.de7 Rfe8 25.ed8=Q +- or 22...Qc5 23.Nd8 Qa2 24.Nf7 Rf7 25.Qa7
+-) Rfe8 23.Qf4 Qf4 24.Bf4 Bc3 25.Bd2 Bg7 26.Nb7 Rc8 27.Bf1 Kh7
28.Nc5 Bd4 29.Na6 (The curtain could easily be drawn here.) e6 30.d6
Rc6 31.c5 e5 32.b5! Rc6 33.d7? (33.c6 spells finis.) Bd7 34.Be3 Nb5
35.Bd4 Nd4 36.Rc1 Nc6 37.Ra3 Red8 38.Bb5 Nd4 39.Bc4 Kg7 40.Rb1 Nf3+??
41.Rf3 Bf5 42.Rc1 Rd7 43.Rb3 Rcd8 44.c6 Rd2 45.Rb7 Bh3 46.Rf7+ Kh8
47.Bb3! R2-d3 48.Nc5 Rd2 49.a6 e4 50.Ne4 Re2 51.Nf6 1-0 as 52.Rh7++
is threatened. Moral: WunderKind or not, you can't win them all.

Rd.3 Walker-Griffith

Sicilian Defence

1.e4 c5 2.c3 e6 3.d4 d5 4.ed5 Qd5 5.Nf3 Nc6 6.Be2 Nf6 7.0-0 Bd7 8.h3
9.e7 9.Be3 c4 10.cd4 0-0 11.Nc3 Qa5 12.Rc1 Nb4 13.Ne5 Na2 14.Na2
(14.Pa1 Nc3 15.Ra5 Nd1 16.Rd1 Nd5 is O.K. for black.) Qa2 15.Nd7 Nd7
16.Rc7 Qd5 17.Bf3 Qd6 18.Qc2 Nb6 19.Bb7 Rab8 20.Ra1 Bd8 21.Rc6 Qd7
22.Ba6 Nd5 23.Bc8?! Qe7 24.Bd2 Bb6 25.Rc4? Bd4! 26.Rd4 Rfc8 27.Qa4
Rb2 28.Qa7 Qf6 (After 28...Qa7 29.Ra7 white still has hopes for a
draw with all the pawns on the K-side.) 29.Rd1 h6 30.Qa6 Rcc2 31.Qa8+
Kh7 32.Qa5 Qd4 0-1

Rd.4 Smith-Barker

English Opening

1.c4 c5 2.g3 Nc6 3.Bg2 e6 4.Nc3 Nf6 5.Nf3 Be7 6.0-0 0-0 7.d3 a6 8.e4
d6 9.Bd2 Qc7 10.a3 Rb8 11.Rb1 b5 12.b3 Re8 13.Re1 Bf8 14.Bg5 Nd7
15.Qc1 Nde5 16.Rd1 Nf3+ 17.Bf3 Nd4 18.Kg2 Bb7 19.Be3 Nf3 20.Kf3 f5
(-+) 21.Kg2 b4 22.ab4 cb4 23.Na4 fe4 24.d4 Qf7 25.Re1 Bc8 26.Qd1 e5
27.d5 Qg6 28.h3 h5 29.Qe2 Bf5 30.Ra1 Be7 31.Kh2 Rf6 32.Rg1 Bd7 33.Nb6
Rb6! 34.Bb6 Rf3 35.Be3 Qf5 36.Rh1 Bg5 37.Kg2 Be3 38.fe3 Qg5 39.Kg1
Rg3+ 40.Kf1 Bh3+ 41.Rh3 Rh3 42.Qg2 Rf3+ 0-1

Rd. 4 James L. Sowder-Angela Chang

Slav Defence

1.d4 d5 2.c4 c6 3.Nf3 Nf6 4.Nc3 e6 5.Bg5 Nbd7 6.e3 Be7 7.Qc2 0-0
8.Bd3 h6 9.Bf6 Nf6 10.0-0 dc4 11.Bc4 Re8 12.Rad1 Qc7 13.Rfe1 Bd6
14.e4 e5 15.d5 (Perhaps 15.h3 is a little better.) Bg4 16.Re3 Red8
17.Red3 Bf3 18.Rf3 cd5 19.Bd5 Nd5 20.Rd5 Rd7 21.Qd3 Rad8 22.g3 Bc5
23.Rd7 Rd7 24.Qe2 Qd8 25.Qc4 (Better is 25.Nd5) Qb6? 26.b3 (26.Na4!)
Qd6 27.Qd5 Qc7 28.Qc4 b6 29.b4?? Bf2+ 0-1

Rd. 4 Griffith-Roush

Alekhines Defence

1.e4 Nf6 2.e5! (Better than the popular[!?] 2.Nc3) Nd5 3.Nc3 Nc3
4.bc3 d6 5.Nf3 Bg4 6.h3 Bh5 7.g4!? Bg6 8.d4 e6 9.Rb1 Qc8 10.Bf4 Nd7
11.Bd3 Be7 12.h4 Rb8 13.h5 Bd3 14.Qd3 de5 15.Ne5 Ne5 16.Be5 Bf6
17.Bg3 b6 18.f4 Qb7! 19.Kf2 Rd8 20.g5 Be7 21.g6? hg6 22.Rhe1 Rh5
23.Re6 Qd5 24.Re4 Kf8 25.Rbe1 Bf6 26.Qe2 Qd7 27.Kg1 Bh4 28.Qe3 Bg3
29.Qg3 Qh3 30.Qh3 Rh3 31.c4 Rc3 32.Re7 Rc4 33.Re1-e2 Rc2! 34.Rc2 Ke7
35.Rc7+ Rd7 36.Rc4 Kd6 37.Kf2 Rc7 38.Ra4 Kd5 39.Ke3 a5 40.Kd3 Rc1
41.Ke3 Rc3+ 42.Kd2 Rc4 43.Rc4 Kc4 44.Ke3 Kd5 45.a4 f6 46.Kd3 g5 47.f5
g4 48.Ke3 g3 49.Kf3 Kd4 50.Kg3 Ke5 51.Kg4 Ke4 52.Kh5 Kf5 53.Kh4 Ke4
0-1

Rd. 5 Walker-Elliott

Kings Gambit

1.e4 e5 2.f4! ef4 3.Nf3 Be7 4.h4!? d6 5.d4 Bg4 6.Bf4 Bh4+ 7.g3 Bf3
8.Qf3 Be7 9.Bc4 Qd7 10.Nc3 Nc6 11.d5 Nd4 12.Qd3 Bf6 13.0-0-0 a6
14.e5! de5 15.Be5 Be5 16.Rde1 f6 17.Qd4 0-0-0 18.Qa7 c6 19.Na4 Bb8
20.Qa8 Kc7 21.Nc5 Qc8 22.Na6+! Kb6 23.Nb8 cd5 24.Bb3 Qb8 25.Re6+ Kc7
26.Qa5+ b6 27.Qc3+ Kb7 28.Bd5+! Ka6 (28...Rd5 29.Qc6+) 29.Qc4+ Ka5
30.b4+ Ka4 31.Bc6+ 1-0

Rd. 5 Bukovac-Griffith

Sicilian Defence

1.e4 c5 2.Nf3 d6 3.d4 cd4 4.Nd4 Nf6 5.Nc3 g6 6.Be3 Bg7 7.f3 Nc6 8.Qd2

0-0 9.Bc4 (A manly move! It is quite suprizing that Bukovac employs it.) Bd7 10.0-0-0 Rc8 11.Bb3 Ne5 12.h4 Nc4 13.Bc4 Rc4 14.h5 Nh5 15.g4 Nf6 16.b3 Rc8 17.Bh6 Bh8 18.Bf6 Kf8 19.Nce2 e5 20.Nf5 gf5 21.Qd6+ Qe7 22.Qe7+ Ke7 23.g5 Ne8 24.Rh7 Bg7 25.Ng3 fe4 26.fe4 (26.Ne4!?) Bg4 27.Nf5+ Bf5 28.ef5 f6 29.gf6 Kf6 30.Rd7 Kf5 31.Rhg7 Ng7 32.Rg7 Rb6 33.Kd2 1/2-1/2

Rd. 5 Barker-Roush
Modern Defence

1.e4 g6 (Dubious. Better is 1...Nf6!) 2.d4 Bg7 3.Nc3 d6 4.Nf3 Bg4 5.Be3 Nd7 6.h3 Bf3 7.Qf3 c6 8.Bc4 Ngf6 9.Bb3 0-0 10.0-0 e5 11.Rad1 Qe7 12.d5?! (Closing the center looks wrong. Maintaining the tension with 12.Rfe1 is probably better.) c5 13.Qe2 a6 14.a4 Nh5 15.Bc4 Nf4 16.Qf3 Bh6?! (Possibly better is 16...f5 as suggested by Dennis Funkhouser. At the board I rejected it as it lead to unclear positions, that is I chickened out.) 17.Kh2 Bg7?! (17...Qh4!?) 18.g3 Nh5 19.Be2 Nhf6 20.Qg2 Rae8 21.g4 h6 22.b3?! (The game has followed an erratic pattern so far. White held the advantage of the first move until his mistake at move 12. Black then held the initiative until his timid play in moves 16 through 19 handed it back to white. Now white again throws away the advantage. Much better is 22.g5!) Nh7! (=) 23.Rg1 g5 (The game has now entered a state of siege warfare in which neither side will find it easy to break through.) 24.Bd3 Ra8 25.Ne2 Rfb8 26.c4 Ndf8 27.Ng3 Ng6 28.Nf5 Qf6 29.Kh1 Nf4 30.Qh2 Nf8 31.h4 Nf8-g6 32.h5 Ne7 33.Bf4 ef4 34.e5! (White temporarily sacs a pawn in an attempt to break through.) de5 35.Ng7 Kg7 36.f3 a5 37.Qe2 Rh8 38.Rge1 Nc8! (The N heads for the natural blockading square at d6.) 39.Qe5 Nd6 40.Kg2 Rhe8 41.Qf6+ Kf6 42.Kf2 b6 43.Bc2 (White needs a win to tie for first, whereas a draw clinches clear first for black. At the board white has a dilemma. If he contests the e-file with his Rs, all 4 Rs will be exchanged with a draw the inevitable result. If white abandons the e-file to black's Rs there is no other line on which to break through.) Re1 44.Re1 Re8 45.Re8 Ne8 46.Be5 Nd6 47.Ke2 Ke7 48.Kd3 Kd8 49.Bh7 Kc7 50.Bg8 f6 51.Bh7 1/2-1/2 as whites K cannot penetrate. (Cross table on next page)

CHARLESTON CHESS CLUB CHAMPIONSHIP

Donald Griffith scored an impressive victory in the Charleston Chess Club Championship contested this spring. Donald defeated John Roush, Bob Bukovac, and drew Dennis Funkhouser to win the finals quad. John Roush finished second for the third year in a row with a 2-1 record. Bukovac held down third with a 1-2 record and Dennis brought up the rear with a 1/2-2 1/2 effort.

This years qualifying tournament was contested in 2 sections. Griffith and Bukovac qualified from 1 section and John and Dennis from the other. Cross tables and games from both events will appear in the next Bulletin.

WEST VIRGINIA POSTAL CHAMPIONSHIP

The following results from the postal tournament have been reported: Section A- Roush 1/2, Thompson 1/2; Section B- Paul "Doug" Lane, a late entry, has withdrawn. He forfeits his games against all opponents.; Section C- Bruner 1, Masters 0; Section D- No result. Some of these games and any additional results will be published next Bulletin. In addition, next Bulletin we will publish some fine postal games by Brett Thompson and Mike McAlister of Section A. My thinly veiled threats last Bulletin bore fruit!

CHARLESTON OPEN

ID	PLAYER	ST	RTAG	PRE	POST	1	2	3	4	5	TOT
10201411	1 ROUSH, JOHN EVERET	WV	2163		2175	w24	w10	0-2	w18	w-4	4.5
10256634	2 LONG, PARLEY C	OH	2024		2077	w20	w-8	0-1	w16	w-3	4.5
10202031	3 BARKER, JR MARVIN	WV	2134		2125	w19	w-5	w16	0-4	L-2	3.5
10258571	4 WHITACKE, FREDERIC	OH	1845		1894	w-9	w22	w14	0-3	L-1	3.5
10785936	5 RUSSETT, JOHN D	OH	1756		1776	w21	L-3	w26	0-6	w11	3.5
10201136	6 FIELDS, JR JAMES C	WV	1740		1784	w27	L14	w-8	0-5	w16	3.5
10201306	7 PARPLES, DAVID F	WV	1890		1861	L-8	020	022	w24	w23	3.0
12417531	8 MILLER, GLENN S	WV	1450		1571	w-7	L-2	L-6	w19	w18	3.0
12445746	9 SHEPHERD, ROGER B.	WV	1226/7		1373	L-4	L19	w27	X--	w22	3.0
11117210	10 WONGAN, II ACK	WV	1809		1813	w23	L-1	w12	011	U--	2.5
12395007	11 LANE, PAUL D	WV	1725		1730	w28	L15	w13	010	L-5	2.5
12429359	12 JASALEKAR, RAJU V	WV	1674		1681	L15	w21	L10	013	w25	2.5
11244173	13 FERGUSON, CHARLES	WV	1429		1506	w17	L16	L11	012	w24	2.5
10804337	14 HUKOVAC, ROBERT F	WV	2141		2127	w26	w-6	L-4	U--	U--	2.0
10201896	15 FUNKHOUSER, DENNIS	WV	2122		2126	w12	w11	U--	U--	U--	2.0
11477526	16 WALKER, JAMES H	WV	1872		1852	w25	w13	L-3	L-2	L-6	2.0
10733111	17 HOHN, JEFF E	WV	1854		1822	L13	w25	024	022	U--	2.0
10141141	18 MARKETTE, GARY A	OH	1853		1822	L22	w23	w19	L-1	L-8	2.0
11448763	19 STONE, FRANK J	WV	1683		1673	L-3	w-9	L18	L-8	w28	2.0
12426437	20 LESTER, PHILIP A	VA	1466		1466	L-2	0-7	L25	0--	021	2.0
12449746	21 COTTON, JR MIKE J	WV	1466/13		1454	L-5	L12	w28	025	020	2.0
12453176	22 BAKER, ROBERT D	WV	1348		1406	w18	L-4	0-7	017	L-9	2.0
12446757	23 LEWIS, PAUL J	WV	1111		1125	L10	L16	0--	w28	L-7	2.0
12357940	24 MORRIS, GARY L	WV	1658		1648	L-1	w27	017	L-7	L13	1.5
12159950	25 JACKSON, JEFFREY	WV	1473/14		1485	L16	L17	w20	021	L12	1.5
10201811	26 HARPER, RANDY M	WV	1515		1510	L14	w28	L-5	F--	U--	1.0
12453177	27 COTTON, MICHAEL	WV	0		1211	L-6	L24	L-9	U--	U--	.0
12446721	28 BAKER, JOSEPH MICH	WV	0		1097	L11	L26	L21	L23	L19	.0

CONCORD APRIL TORNADO

Duane Caldwell, David Myers, Larry Lafferty, and Eanon Mills each took a piece of first place at the Concord April Tornado. The event drew 13 players to the Concord College campus. The event was held April 14. Here is a game and the cross table from this event:

Rd. 2 Simpson-Mills
Queen Pawn Opening

1.d4 Nf6 2.Nc3 d5 3.Bf4 c6 4.g3 Nbd7 5.Nf3 e6 6.Bg2 Bb4 7.Bd2 0-0
8.a3 Ba5 9.b4 Bc7 10.Qc1 e5 (=+) 11.0-0 ed4 12.Nd4 Ne5 13.Bg5 Nc4
14.Rd1 h6 15.Be3 Re6 16.Bf3? Ne3 17.fe3 Ng4 (White's weaknesses along
the e-file are big enough for Eanon to drive his school bus through.)
18.e4 Nh2! 19.Kg2 (19.Kh2 Qh4+ with mate to follow.) Nf3 20.ef3 Qd6
21.Nce2 de4 22.fe4 Qg6! 23.Rh1 Qe4+ 24.Kf2 Bb6 25.Rh4? (White is lost
anyway.) Qe2+ 0-1

(Cross table on p.20)

GRAFTON WINTER SWISS

Paul Davis, Tom Simpson, and David Bruner won the Grafton Winter Swiss with records of 2 1/2-1/2. This tourney was held in Grafton on February 9 and attracted 20 players. David Bruner directed the event.

Here are a selection of games from the event:

Rd. 2 Barnes-Cocalis

Kings Gambit Accepted

1.e4 e5 2.f4 ef4 3.Bc4 Qh4+ 4.Kf1 Nf6 5.Nf3 Qh5 6.Qe1 d6 7.e5 de5 8.Ne5 Kd8 9.Nf7+ Kd7 10.Qe6++ 1-0

Rd.2 Bertrand-Simpson

Caro-Kann Defence

1.e4 c6 2.c4 d5 3.Nc3!? (3.ed cd: 4.c4 is the Panov-Botvinnik Attack) de4 (3...d4!?) 4.Ne4 Bf5 5.Nc5 e6 6.Nb7?! Qb6 7.Qb3 Bb4 8.a3?? Bd2+! 9.Bd2 Qb3 10.Nd6+ Ke7 11.Nc8+ Kd7 12.Nd6 Kd6 13.Bb4+ c5 14.Bc3 Nc6 15.Nf3 Nf6 16.Nd2 Qc2 17.Be2 Bd3 18.Bd3 Qd3 19.Rd1 Nd4 20.h4 Nc2++ 0-1

Rd. 3 Simpson- Davis

Nimzovitch-Larsen Attack

1.Nf3 d5 2.b3 Nf6 3.e3 e6 4.Bb2 c5 5.Be2 Be7 6.c4 0-0 7.0-0 b6 8.Ne5 Bb7 9.f4 Nbd2 10.Bf3 Rc8 11.Nc3 Ne4 12.Qc2 Nc3 13.Bc3 Rc7 14.Rac1 Ne5 15.fe5 d4 16.Bb7 Rb7 17.ed4 cd4 18.Bb2 Bc5 19.d3 1/2-1/2

Rd.3 Conley-Taylor

Queen Pawn Opening

1.d4 d5 2.Nf3 Nf6 3.Bf4 g6 4.Nbd2 Bg7 5.e3 0-0 6.c4 b6 7.Bd3 Bb7 8.0-0 Nbd7 9.Ne5 Rc8 10.Ngf3 c5 11.b3 e6 12.Rc1 Nh5 13.Nd7 Qd7 14.dc5 Rc5 15.Be5 f6 16.Bb2 e5 17.Ba3 e4 18.Be4 Qg4 19.Bd5+ Bd5 20.Bc5 Nf4?? 21.ef4 Bf3 22.Qf3 Qf3 23.gf3 bc5 24.Rcd1 Bh6 25.Rd7 Bf4 26.Ra7 f5 27.Rd1 h5 28.Rdd7 Bh6 29.a4 Rb8 30.Rdb7 Rc6 31.Rb6 Rd8 32.Rg6+ 1-0

		PRE	POST					
	PLAYER	ST	RTNG	RTNG	1	2	3	TOT
1	DAVIS, PAUL W.	WV	1755	1765	w-6	w-5	D-2	2.5
2	SIMPSON, TOM P	MO	1668	1682	w15	w16	D-1	2.5
3	BRUNER, DAVID LEE	WV	1556	1566	w20	D11	w-8	2.5
4	CONLEY, MICHAEL E	WV	1611	1595	L16	w15	w-9	2.0
5	DUTCHER, MARKLE W	WV	1559	1565	w19	L-1	w16	2.0
6	AUVIL, TERRY N	WV	1520	1529	L-1	w19	w14	2.0
7	MARTIN, J GREG	PA	1774	1772	U--	w20	D11	1.5
8	BARNES, JOHN	NY	1646	1620	D-9	w14	L-3	1.5
9	TAYLOR, SR JOHN R	WV	1205	1227	D-8	w18	L-4	1.5
10	HUVIT, TIMOTHY P	PA	1907	1911	U--	w12	U--	1.0
11	HERTHOOD, ERIC A	PA	1705	1702	U--	D-3	D-7	1.0
12	KILEY, TERRY J	WV	1571	1570	w17	L10	U--	1.0
13	OINUS, JAMES E	WV	1522/4	1449	L14	w17	U--	1.0
14	COCALIS, JOSEPH C	PA	1451/3	1441	w13	L-8	L-6	1.0
15	CASTO, RON	WV	1292	1292	L-2	L-4	w18	1.0
16	BERTRAND, FRANK	WV	1179/6	1280	w-4	L-2	L-5	1.0
17	GIBSON, GARY	WV	1157/10	1154	L12	L13	D19	.5
18	THOMAS, SCOTT R	WV	1142/14	1105	H--	L-9	L15	.5
19	GIBSON, RANDY	WV	1130/8	1135	L-5	L-6	D17	.5
20	PUCKL, GERALD P	OH	1112/4	1163	L-3	L-7	H--	.5

CONCORD FEBRUARY TORNADO

Robert Bukovac won the Concord February Tornado with a perfect 4-0 performance. A full point off the winning pace tied for second were Duane Caldwell, Larry Lafferty, Jack Gibbs, and John Everett Roush.

As always, this tournament was held on the second Sunday of the month, February 10, at Concord College's pretty little campus. This event drew 26 players.

Here are a few games from this tourney:

Rd. 1 Gibbs-Lackey

Caro-Kann Defence

1.e4 c6 2.d4 d5 3.e5 e6 4.f4 a6 5.Nf3 c5 6.c3 cd4 7.cd4 Bb4?! 8.Bd2 Bd2+ 9.Nbd2 Nc6 (Black has committed several sins. He has lost several tempos in transposing the game to a French Defence. He has traded his "good" black-square B for white's "bad" one. He will suffer accordingly.) 10.Bd3 Qb6 11.Nb3 (Now we see the results of black's lost tempos. In the normal French Defence, Advance Variation which this position closely resembles white does not have the move Nb3 nor is his e5-square solidly protected by the-pawn.) Nge7 12.0-0 Bd7 13.Kh1 Rc8 14.Rf2 0-0 15.Bh7+! (+-) Kh7 16.Ng5+ Kg6 17.Qg4 Nf5 18.Ne6+ Kh7 19.Qf5+ g6 20.Ng5+ Kg8 21.Qd7 Rc7 22.Qh3 and black struggled on to move 35 before 1-0 but his position is in tatters. He could easily have given up at this point as do we.

Rd. 3 Roush-Jimmy Sowder

Queens Indian Defence

1.Nf3 d5 2.d4 e6 3.g3 b6 4.Bg2 Ba6 5.0-0 Nf6 6.b3 Be7 7.Bb2 0-0 8.Nbd2 Nbd7 9.Rc1 c5 10.c4 (I labeled this a Queens Indian but it is also akin to the Catalan Opening.) Bb7 11.e3 Rb8 12.cd5 Nd5 13.Qe2 cd4 14.Nd4 a5 15.a3 Nc5 16.Rfd1 Qf7 17.Ne4 Rfd8 18.Nc5 Bc5 19.Nf3 Qe8 20.Ng5 h6 21.Ne4 Be7 22.Qg4 Qf8 (22...f5?? 23.Qg7++) 23.a4!? f5!? 24.Qg6 Qf7 (24...fe4!? 25.Be4 Qf7[forced] 26.Qh7+ Kf8 27.Qh8+ Qg8 28.Bg7+ Kf7 29.Qg8+ Rg8 30.Bh6 and it is unclear to me whether or not the 3 pawns are enough compensation for the piece.) 25.Qf7+ Kf7 26.Nd2 Nb4 27.Nc4 Bg2 28.Ne5+ Kg8 29.Kg2 Bf6 30.Kf3 Rd5 31.Rd5 ed5 32.Bd4 Be5 33.Be5 Nd3 34.Bb8 Nc1 35.Bc7 Kf7 36.Bb6 Ke6? (36...Nb3 is better, but black would be in a difficult circumstance in any case.) 37.Ba5 Nd3? (37...Nb3 is required.) 38.Bb6 and white converted his material advantage to 1-0 on move 49.

Rd. 4 Bukovac-Roush

Kings Indian Defence

1.d4! (The ! is for surprize value.) g6 2.c4 Nf6 3.Nc3 Bg7 4.e4 d6 5.Nf3 0-0 6.Be2 Nbd7 7.e5! (Surprize number 2!) Ne8?! (Probably better is ECO's remedy 7...Ng4 although after 8.e6 it is hairy.) 8.0-0 c5 9.Be3 Nc7 10.ed6 ed6 11.Qd2 Ne6 12.Rad1 Qa5 13.Nb5 (+-) Qd2 14.Rd2 cd4 15.Nfd4 Ndc5 16.Nd6 Rd8 17.Ne6 Ne6 18.Rfd1 b6 19.Nc6 Rd2 20.Rd2 Rc8 21.b3 Rc7 22.Bf3 Kf8 23.Kf1 Ke7 24.Ke2 Bc3 25.Rd3 Bf6 26.Bg4 Nc5 27.Rd2 Be5 28.Bf3 Ne6 29.Bd5 Nf5+ 30.Kf3 Nh5 31.Bd4 Bd4

32.Rd4 f5 33.g4 Ng7 34.Kf4 fg4 35.Kg4 Kf6 36.Rf4+ Nf5 37.Be4 h5+!?

38.Kf3 Rd7 39.Bf5 gf5 40.Ke3 Rd1 41.Rd4 Ra1 42.a4 Rb1 43.Rd3 Rh1

44.Rd7 Rb1 45.Rd3 Rh1 46.Rd7 Rb1 47.Rg7 Rb3+ 48.Kf4 Rb4 49.Rc7 Ra4

50.Rc6+ Kg7 51.Kg5 f4 52.h4 Rb4 53.Rh5 b5 54.cb5 Rb5+ 55.Kg4 Rb4

56.f3 Ra4 57.Re6 Rb4 58.Re4 Rb1 59.Kf4 Rg1 60.Kf5 Rg3? (After this

move, the win is easy. Bukovac has suggested that prior to this move

black still had drawing chances. Personally, I feel this is a

charitable assessment of black's position but I am bewildered by R

and P endings.) 61.Rg4+ 1-0

1. R.Bukovac	2075	W18	W9	W5	W2	4.0
2. J.Roush	2148	W14	W8	W6	L1	3.0
3. D.Caldwell	1687	D15	W14	D7	W13	3.0
4. L.Lafferty	1672	W20	D11	D15	W7	3.0
5. J.Gibbs	1655	W24	W17	L1	W15	3.0
6. J.K.Sowder	1680	W19	W10	L2	D9	2.5
7. D.Myers	1669	W22	W13	D3	L4	2.5
8. E.Mills	1647	W23	L2	D18	W20	2.5
9. F.Doonan	1615	W26	L1	W11	D6	2.5
10.R.Williams	1605	W21	L6	D20	W17	2.5
11.A.Cole	1572	W16	D4	L9	W18	2.5
12.P.Lester	1508	L17	L15	W24	W21	2.0
13.G.Evans	1487	W25	L7	W16	L3	2.0
14.R.Gross	1481	L2	L3	W23	W22	2.0
15.L.Graham*	1366	D3	W12	D4	L5	1.5
16.M.Rubinstein	UNR	L11	W22	L13	W24	2.0
17.H.Mitchell	UNR	W12	L5	W19	L10	2.0
18.C.Simpson	1399	L1	W26	D8	L11	1.5
19.C.Vickers	1360	L6	D21	L17	W25	1.5
20.J.L.Sowder	1349	L4	W23	D10	L8	1.5
21.R.Campbell	UNR	L10	D19	W25	L12	1.5
22.M.Watkins	1303	L7	L16	W26	L14	1.0
23.S.Click	UNR	L8	L20	L14	W26	1.0
24.R.Lackey	1244	L5	D25	L12	L16	0.5
25.S.Green	UNR	L13	D24	L21	L19	0.5
26.O.McKinney	1149	L9	L18	L22	L23	0.0

*Partial to blondes, but not particularly finicky in any case.

CONCORD APRIL TORNADO

1. D.Caldwell	1795	D11	W9	D5	W4	3.0
2. D.Myers	1728	L9	W11	W8	W7	3.0
3. L.Lafferty	1724	W10	L7	W12	W6	3.0
4. E.Mills	1641	W13	W6	W7	L1	3.0
5. J.Gibbs	1793	L7	W10	D1	W11	2.5
6. C.Simpson	1645	W12	L4	W9	L3	2.0
7. G.Evans	1502	W5	W3	L4	L2	2.0
8. P.Lester	1466	--	W13	L2	W12	2.0
9. M.Rubinstein	1454	W2	L1	L6	Bye	2.0
10.D.Dawson	1370	L3	L5	Bye	W13	2.0
11.T.Riley	1571	D1	L2	W13	L5	1.5
12.O.McKinney	1125	L6	Bye	L3	L8	1.0
13.G.Maynard	UNR	L4	L8	L11	L10	0.0